

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/888,922 | 06/25/2001 | | Praveen Gupta | 4740-004 | 4740-004 9724 | | |
| 24112 | 7590 | 11/18/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| COATS & I | BENNET | T, PLLC | MEHRA, | MEHRA, INDER P | | | |
| RALEIGH, NC 27602 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| • | | | | 2666 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | N | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/888,922 | GUPTA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Inder P. Mehra | 2666 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 October 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) | wn from consideration. ected. /are objected to. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | D⊠ accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | Д П | (DTO 440) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in reference to the response dated: 10/25/05. Claims 1-48 are pending.
- 2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 26-27, 34 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallentin et al (US Patent No. 6,347,091), hereinafter, Wallentin, in view of Srinivasan et al (US Patent No. 6,304,549), hereinafter, Srinivasan.

For claims 1, 26-27, 34 and 42, Wallentin discloses, "a method of managing a radio channel assigned to a mobile terminal in a radio access network that supports a plurality of radio channel data rate capacities, (refer to abstract, col. 2 lines 10-15, col. 3 lines 25-35, col. 5 lines 50-55, col. 12 lines 15-17, and col. 15 lines 20-23; the method comprising:

Wallentin discloses "monitoring usage of the radio channel over an interval of time" (refer to "the transmit queue is empty), a predefined time period is <u>monitored</u>.", refer to col. 10 lines 5-7;

Wallentin does not disclose the following limitations, explicitly, which are disclosed by

Art Unit: 2666

Srinivasan., as follows:

- monitoring usage of the radio channel over an interval of time (means for monitoring service usage of said virtual path connection (channel) includes monitoring on demand service requests at each said switch for a predetermined window of time,
 refer to col. 18 lines 27-31):
- increasing a data rate capacity of the radio channel if the usage remains above a maximum usage threshold for a first qualified period of time (increase bandwidth allocation of said switch along said route when said virtual path connection usage at said switch increases above said second predetermined threshold, refer to col. 18 lines 40-45); and
- decreasing the data rate capacity of the radio channel if the usage remains below a
 minimum usage threshold for a second qualified period of time (decrease bandwidth
 allocation of said switch when said virtual path connection usage at said switch falls
 below said first predetermined threshold, refer to col. 18 lines 35-41).

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the cap[ability increasing or decreasing a data rate capacity of the radio channel if the usage remains above a maximum usage threshold as taught by Srinivasan. This capability can be implemented by incorporating in the switch as taught by Srinivasan. The motivation to do so being that it provides an efficient method for handling state changes and computing optimum route.

Art Unit: 2666

5. Claims, 21-22 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallentin, in view of **Srinivasan**, as applied to claims 1, 26-27, 34 and 42 above, and further in view of **Chin** (US Patent No. 6,690,938).

For claims 21-22 and 35, Wallentin, in view of Srinivasan'549 discloses all the limitations of subject matter, as above, with the exception of the following limitation, which is disclosed by Chin, as follows:

- "de-allocating the radio channel if the usage is below the minimum usage threshold and a current data rate capacity of the radio channel is at a minimum data rate capacity as defined for the radio access network, as recited by claim 21 and 35, (refer to "If the number of remaining traffic channels not currently in use drops below the traffic channel threshold, in-use supplementary channels are de-allocated and reallocated as traffic channels, refer to col. 5 lines 64-67).
- wherein the radio access network is an IS-2000 based network and the radio channel is a supplemental channel, and further comprising: before initial allocation of the supplemental channel to the mobile terminal, determining whether a fundamental channel that is allocated to the mobile terminal has a sufficient data rate capacity', and allocating the supplemental channel to the mobile terminal if the data rate capacity of the fundamental channel is not sufficient, as recited by claim 22, (refer to "If available traffic resources drop below the threshold, control is passed to a resource allocation step 96, where a request is initiated from the target BTS CRM 44 to the BSC SBS 54 requesting", that the BSC SBS 54 issue a supplemental channel release request to the target, col. 12 lines 5-10.

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to "If the number of remaining traffic channels not currently in use drops below the traffic channel threshold, in-use supplementary channels are de-allocated and reallocated as traffic channels", as taught by Chin. This capability can be implemented by incorporating S/W to de-allocate channels, as taught by Chin into Srinivasan's witch. The motivation to do so being that it provides an efficient method for allocating and de-allocating traffic channels and supplementary channels.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-20, 23-25, 28-33, 36-41 and 43-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/25/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, "Srinivasan exclusively addresses itself to ATM networks. Thus, the word "channel" in Srinivasan has a meaning radically different than the word "channel" in the instant application or in Chin. For example, the background of Srinivasan states that "Virtual Channel Connections(VCCs)" are "connections of virtual channel links (segments of unidirectional transport of ATM cells between a point where a virtual channel identifier (VCl) is assigned to the point where this value is translated or removed), and Virtual Path Connections

Art Unit: 2666

(VPCS)which are a concatenation of virtual path links, which are terminated by points where the virtual path identifier (VPI) is assigned and translated or removed."

In response, it is stated that Wallentin discloses, "a method of managing a radio channel assigned to a mobile terminal in a radio access network that supports a plurality of radio channel data rate capacities, (refer to abstract, col. 2 lines 10-15, col. 3 lines 25-35, col. 5 lines 50-55,, col. 12 lines 15-17, and col. 15 lines 20-23; the method comprising:

Wallentin discloses "monitoring usage of the radio channel over an interval of time" (refer to "the transmit queue is empty), a predefined time period is <u>monitored</u>.", refer to col. 10 lines 5-7;

Applicant argues "AII such anticipation rejections fail as a matter of law because

Srinivasan does not disclose (explicitly or inherently) the claimed methods and apparatus of
managing a radio channel. Srinivasan exclusively discloses dynamic management of

Asynchronous Mode Transfer (ATM) channels-note that Srinivasan does state that it can manage

ATM channels between radio base stations, but, by definition, those are backhaul ATM-based
network links and not air interface radio channels within the meaning of Applicant's claims.

In response, it is stated that Wallentin and Srinivasan discloses all the limitations of independent claims 1, 27, 34 and 42, refer to paragraph 3 above of instant office action, including the limitations: "A method of managing a radio channel assigned to a mobile terminal in a radio access network that supports a plurality of radio channel data rate capacities", as recited in preamble of claims 1, 27,34, and 42. "air interface radio channel" is not recited in the claim. In fact "radio channel" is "radio communication by means of radio waves (in air). Further,

Art Unit: 2666

RF system of communication employing electromagnetic waves propagated through space.

Srinivasan discloses "use in----- wireless ATM networks where provisioned VPCs between adjacent base stations allows simplified mobile handoffs", refer to col. 1 lines 50-56,

Applicant argues "Chin does not teach or suggest monitoring a radio channel for usage and dropping that channel if the usage is below a minimum capacity defined by the system, and no one skilled in the art would thus find any motivation for combining Chin with Srinivasan in the manner suggested by the examiner.

In response, it is stated that Srinivasan discloses explicitly "means for monitoring service usage of said virtual path connection (channel) includes monitoring on demand service requests at each said switch for a predetermined window of time, refer to col. 18 lines 27-31). Further, Chin discloses clearly "If the number of remaining traffic channels not currently in use drops below the traffic channel threshold, in-use supplementary channels are de-allocated and reallocated as traffic channels, refer to col. 5 lines 64-67.

Applicant argue "As explained in Section 2142 of the MPEP, the examiner bears the initial burden of making out a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 1 103".

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Srinivasan and Chin combined disclose "monitoring a radio channel for usage and

Art Unit: 2666

dropping that channel if the usage is below a minimum capacity defined by the system", as

Page 8

explained above.

In light of above explanation, the arguments by applicant are not persuasive.

Comments

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Inder P. Mehra whose telephone number is 571-272-3170. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Art Unit 2666